Request for Clarification and Response

1 Page 6, #6 Provide an easy method to obtain preset and ad hoc reports and forms.
Question: Is the expectation that the CMS system will generate these reports, or are you merely looking for the CMS to store these reports?

The expectation is that the CMS will generate these reports and forms. The "easy method" refers to the addition of new reports and forms to the system, some of which may become standard, regularly produced whereas others may be generated on an ad ho basis.

2. Page 8, Objective7 (interface to other systems)
Interfaces include (but are not limited to):
⬚ Administrative Office of Courts system(s)
⬚ Alabama Department of Correction system(s)
⬚ Alabama Criminal Justice Information Center system(s)
⬚ Interstate Compact Offender Tracking System
Question: What type of interfaces are currently available at these agencies, i.e. Database, API or Web Services? Has the future interface for ASSURE been determined?

The current interfaces to the Administrative Office of Courts (AOC) system and Alabama Criminal Justice Information Center (ACJIC) system is through a nightly feed of data from the AOC system to the Pardon and Parole server and the ACJIC systems. Once the new CMS is implements, some of the pardon and parole data housed on the AOC mainframe that is passed to ACJIC will have to come from the CMS. The Department of Correction interface is also a data feed. The Interstate Compact Offender Tracking System interface is a new interface. It is presumed to be a web interface. Currently data is keyed directly into the Interstate Compact Offender Tracking System. The goal of this interface is to eliminate dual entry of data. The ASSURE portal is under development. This will be a web services interface that must be NIEM compliant (a requirement of the federal grant that is covering development of the portal).

3. Page 9, Project Scope- The system must apply nationally accepted, best practice requirements of the law enforcement community, specifically the probation and parole community, to provide for outcome measurement of caseload management practices to allow the agency to make "evidenced-based" policy decisions.
Question: Is there public information accessible to review these best practices?

Go to *Resources on Evidence-Based Programs and Practices*.

4. Has ABPP determined if they would prefer this system to be cloud based or managed on premise?

For now, we prefer on premise.

5. Page 10, 113. The system shall provide the capability to capture/store electronic signatures.
Question: Will these signatures be digital or e-signing?

Initially, signatures will be e-signing. We may use digital signatures in the future.

6. Page 11, Section 300 Investigations
Question: Who currently owns all investigative information, what is the current system of record for all your data?

Investigative information is 'owned' by the Board. The current system of record is the AOC mainframe.

7. Page 11, Section 300 and Section 700
Question: Is ABPP looking to leverage the Fusion Center to access the data (section 300) and/ or to develop the reports (section 700)?

No.

8. Page 13, Section 700
Question: Will all of these reports be data/metric-centric, or is there an expectation for content related to the Cases to appear in the reports as well?

Both. Requirements 701.a and 701.b are data/metric-centric. Requirements 701.c through 701.f are content related to a specific caae.

9. Are there currently any members from the agencies listed below who are currently part of your Board or decision process committee?
ALCJIS, ALDOC, Administrative Office of Courts

No.